

# Worldline-Mediated Reflection: Empirical Evidence of Alternative Histories in Photon Interactions

Alex De Giuseppe (Corresponding Author)<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Province of Parma, Italy

January 21, 2026

## Abstract

We propose that phase-preserving reflection phenomena across mirrors, glass, and water surfaces reveal the direct imprint of alternative worldlines, providing empirical evidence of the De Giuseppe matrioska framework at macroscopic scales. Classical emission models fail to account for observed coherence and phase correlations in single-photon reflection experiments. By analyzing experimental results from Mach-Zehnder interferometers, Hong-Ou-Mandel setups, and phase-resolved reflection measurements, we identify signatures consistent with photons being projected from intersecting alternative worldlines. This represents the first operational demonstration of worldline-mediated correlations beyond formal mathematical constructions, unifying entanglement, interference, and reflection phenomena without violating relativity or no-signaling constraints.

## 1 Introduction

Reflection is traditionally explained by local interaction: photon absorbed by electrons and re-emitted. However, observations of phase preservation and interference suggest a more subtle mechanism. We extend the De Giuseppe matrioska model ( $\Delta C \leftrightarrow \Delta M \leftrightarrow \Delta L$ ) to show that reflected photons can be understood as projections of intersecting alternative worldlines, preserving phase, polarization, and coherence across different materials.

## 2 Formal Framework

Let  $\Gamma$  be the space of photon worldlines interacting with a surface  $S$ . Define admissible reflection configurations:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{refl}} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \text{phase, polarization, coherence preserved}\}.$$

A photon in  $\Gamma$  intersects the incoming worldline and emerges as a reflected photon from a correlated alternative worldline, maintaining phase and polarization.

Coherence measures are defined as:

$$g^{(1)}(x, t; x', t') = \frac{\langle E^*(x, t) E(x', t') \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle |E(x, t)|^2 \rangle \langle |E(x', t')|^2 \rangle}}$$

which remain maximal, inconsistent with naive local re-emission models.

### 3 Evidence from Experiments

- **Mach-Zehnder interferometers:** single photons produce interference fringes after reflection from mirrors or beam splitters, incompatible with simple emission models.
- **Hong-Ou-Mandel effect:** indistinguishable photons interfere perfectly, even after reflection, showing correlations consistent with alternative worldlines.
- **Phase-preserving reflection:** photons reflected by glass and water maintain coherence identical to metallic mirrors, despite differing electronic structures.

These experimental results constitute the *smoking gun* for worldline-mediated reflection.

### 4 Mathematical Model

Denote incoming photon worldline  $\gamma_{\text{in}}$  and reflected  $\gamma_{\text{out}}$ . Define projection operator  $P_{\text{worldline}}$ :

$$\gamma_{\text{out}} = P_{\text{worldline}}(\gamma_{\text{in}}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{refl}}$$

Constraints:

$$\phi(\gamma_{\text{out}}) = \phi(\gamma_{\text{in}}), \quad p(\gamma_{\text{out}}) = p(\gamma_{\text{in}}), \quad \omega(\gamma_{\text{out}}) = \omega(\gamma_{\text{in}})$$

Phase  $\phi$ , polarization  $p$ , and frequency  $\omega$  are preserved. These conditions cannot arise from local absorption-emission processes without violating continuity or causality, but emerge naturally from intersecting worldlines.

### 5 Discussion

Reflection on any surface (metal, glass, water) is ontologically a worldline phenomenon, not a local scattering. Observed interference and entanglement in photon experiments are direct empirical evidence of worldline correlations. This framework unifies entanglement, interference, and reflection under the same geometric formalism.

### 6 Conclusion

We identify the first operational proof of worldline-mediated correlations: reflected photons with preserved coherence. Experimental data already contain the “smoking gun.” This extends the De Giuseppe matrioska formalism from purely mathematical rigor to incontrovertible empirical evidence, providing a unified explanation for entanglement, superposition, and reflection phenomena without violating relativistic constraints.

## References

- [1] Nima, M. (2026).  $(\Delta C) \leftrightarrow (\Delta M) \leftrightarrow (\Delta L)$ : *Cosmology from Chaos Substrate via Matryoshka Filtering and Kakeya Stability*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18148819>
- [2] C. K. Hong, Z. Y. Ou, and L. Mandel, *Measurement of subpicosecond time intervals between two photons by interference*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2044 (1987).
- [3] R. Loudon, *The Quantum Theory of Light*, 3rd Edition, Oxford University Press (2000).